

**BRISTOL CITY COUNCIL  
PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY AND GREENS COMMITTEE  
27 April 2009**

**JOINT RIGHTS OF WAY PUBLIC PATH ORDER POLICY**

(Report of the Director of City Development)

(Ward: All)

**Purpose of Report**

1. To consider and approve a joint public path order policy. One of the identified actions arising from the strategic Joint Rights of Way Improvement Plan for 2007-2011 is for the participating authorities to produce and adopt a unified policy.

**Background**

2. The Joint Rights of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP) was published in November 2007 and sets out a number of statements of action under the theme of improving maintenance and safety. Statement of Action 1.4, reads '*Develop joint diversion policy including standards for diverted paths*'. The timescale for Action 1.4 was 1-2 years; therefore the proposed deadline for the adoption of the joint policy would be approximately March 2009.
3. The draft public path order policy was set before this committee on 27 October 2008 with the invitation for members to make comments on the document before 24 November 2008, prior to a wider consultation. No comments were received.
4. The Bristol Public Rights of Way Liaison group received the draft public path policy on 11 December 2008 with a request for comments by 15 January 2009. No comments were received and none were made at the subsequent meeting on 12 March 2009.
5. Officers from the joint ROWIP working party have met and proposed minor amendments to the draft policy.
6. Representations have also been made by user groups in response to South Gloucestershire Council's consultation exercise on the draft policy. The Department of Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) has produced draft guidance for local authorities on 'Structures on Rights of Way' in the light of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (as amended). This has not yet been published for wider consultation. The effects of

this guidance when it is finalised may require amendments to this policy, which will be addressed at the appropriate time by the joint authority working party.

7. The consideration of public path orders is a statutory function and the decision whether to make an order is a quasi-judicial one. Should an order be made to create, divert or stop up a footpath, any barriers to the use by the public of that path would be dealt with under Highways act legislation, as set out in the criteria within the policy.

## **Resources**

There are currently the equivalent of two officers dealing with rights of way matters - one full-time, two part-time (job share). The adoption of the joint policy is intended to guide potential applicants for Public Path Orders as to what is likely to constitute an acceptable application. As such it should discourage those applications that have little chance of being successful but would involve a significant amount of staff time in dealing with. The policy will thus enable a more efficient use of council resources.

## **Finance Comment**

The City Development cost for provision of a joint public path order policy can be met within the available budget for 2009/10.

## **Consultation**

Legal Services, Financial Services and Equalities.

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – Joint Public Path Order Policy  
Appendix 2 - Joint ROWIP Business Plan/  
Statement of Actions dated August 2008

## **Policy Implications**

This will become the joint policy framework as required by the Joint Rights of Way Improvement Plan published by Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol City and South Gloucestershire Councils

## **Legal Implications**

There are no additional legal implications

**Recommended:** That the joint policy at Appendix 1 be adopted

**Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985  
Background Papers**

1. Joint Rights of Way Improvement Plan published November 2007
2. 'Structures on Rights of Way' – including the implications of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (as amended) Version 0 Draft 2 (not released).

**Contact Officer:**

Terry Bullock, Traffic Manager, Transport Operations, City Development. Tel. No. (0117) 9036843

**Bristol City Council  
Changes to the Path Network  
Public Path Order Policy**

**1.0 Introduction**

- 1.1 The creation, diversion or extinguishment of public rights of way may be achieved by means of a Public Path Order. The power to make Public Path Orders is currently at the discretion of Bristol City Council ("the Council"). In its Joint Rights of Way Improvement Plan 2007-2011, published in partnership with Bath and North East and South Gloucestershire Councils, an action was identified to produce a "joint diversion policy including specification standards for diverted paths". This policy statement is considered to fulfil that action.
- 1.2 An Equalities Impact Assessment has been considered in the drafting of this policy.

**2.0 Applications for Public Path Orders**

- 2.1 All applications for Public Path Orders (creations, diversions and extinguishments) will be assessed against the appropriate legislative tests and the criteria set out in this policy below. The Council will only exercise its discretion to make an order if it is satisfied that the order is capable of being confirmed (i.e. it believes the legislative tests are met) and it is reasonable to do so with reference to the criteria.
- 2.2 Generally proposals will only be acceptable where they maintain existing links to connecting paths and offer at least a comparable alternative path or provide new links to other paths. Consideration should be given to whether there is an alternative to altering the public right of way. The Council will seek to enhance the network wherever possible by improvement to the current route and network.
- 2.3 Applications will normally be dealt with in chronological order of receipt. However the Council reserves the right to prioritise some applications out of sequence for instance if the resulting

order affects development; offers substantial improvement to the network; or requires to be expedited for any other reason.

2.4 Applicants for a Public Path Order will be expected to:-

- provide an initial assessment of their proposal against the legislative tests and additional criteria;
- agree in writing to meet all reasonable costs associated with making the order;
- pay any compensation which becomes payable in consequence of the coming into operation of the Order;
- pay all costs to set out any new line of the path on the ground;

(In exceptional circumstances the Council may agree to waive costs in whole or in part.)

2.5 The Council does not generally support applications for extinguishment orders unless they are part of a wider package with compensating public benefit. The Council will accept stand-alone applications for extinguishments only in exceptional circumstances.

2.6 The Council has developed its procedure for dealing with Public Path Order applications in accordance with government guidance and best practice. An application form and guidelines are available from the Council. These guidelines cover the consultation process, form of orders and charging. Further guidance on the alteration of public paths is contained within the booklet '*NE112 - A guide to definitive maps and changes to public rights of way*', available on the Natural England Website ([www.naturalengland.org.uk](http://www.naturalengland.org.uk)).

### **3.0 Effect of Public Path Orders and existing Public Rights of Way**

3.1 A Public Path Order will not become operative until it is confirmed or certified where required. No path is to be obstructed or disturbed until an order has been finalised. All paths should be open and available for public use until such

time as an order has come into operation. The case officer may agree to waive this requirement where it is deemed appropriate having regard to all the circumstances of the particular case; for example where the following criteria are met:

*"1. The obstruction does not appear to have been a deliberate attempt to interfere with the public's use of the route;*

*2. The obstruction is not of recent origin (the use of PPOs to deal with obstructions placed after the date of adoption of this policy will only be considered in exceptional circumstances);*

*3. In the opinion of a Council Officer, an alternative route exists that will fulfil the requirements of the relevant PPO legislation and maintain the usefulness of the PRow network."*

### **4.0 Public Path Orders and Development**

4.1 Where the diversion or extinguishment of paths are required as a result of development, these may be secured by means of a Public Path Order made under the Town & Country Planning Act 1990. Developers should note that the granting of planning permission in no way authorises the disturbance or obstruction of a right of way. A TCPA order cannot be confirmed if the relevant development is substantially complete and developers should thus ensure that an application for the PPO is made as soon as possible. Developers should be aware that applications that seek to divert existing paths alongside new estate roads will normally be rejected as this is contrary to government guidance in Circular 1/09<sup>1</sup>.

### **5.0 Legislative Tests**

5.1 The legislative tests that a particular application must meet depends upon the type of order being sought. This policy applies to the following types of order:

- **Public Path Creation Order - section 26 Highways Act 1980:** A new right of way may be created where it can be shown that there is a need for it.
- **Public Path Extinguishment Order - section 118 Highways Act 1980:** A right of way may be extinguished where it is not needed for public use.
- **Public Path Diversion Order - section 119 Highways Act 1980:** A right of way may be diverted where it can be shown that it is in the interest of the relevant landowner and/or the public to do so, but only where:
  - i)* the diverted route would not be substantially less convenient to the public; and
  - ii)* the diversion would not alter any point of termination of the path, other than to another point on the same highway, or a connected highway; and
  - iii)* the effect the diversion would have on public enjoyment of the path as a whole must also be taken into account before a decision is made.
- **Rail Crossing Extinguishment / Diversion Order - section 118A / 119A Highways Act 1980:** A right of way which crosses a railway may be extinguished (section 118A) or diverted (section 119A) where it can be shown that it is expedient to do so in the interests of public safety, but only if it is not possible to make the crossing safe.
- **Special Extinguishment / Diversion Order - section 118B / 119B Highways Act 1980:** A right of way may be extinguished (section 118B) or diverted (section 119B) for reasons of school security {for the purpose of protecting pupils and staff from violence; threat of violence; harassment; alarm or distress arising from unlawful activity; any other risk to their health and safety arising from such activity}, or, if it falls within a designated high crime area, for reasons of crime prevention.
- **SSSI Diversion Order - section 119D Highways Act 1980:** A right of way may be diverted in order to protect a Site of Special Scientific Interest.
- **Public Path Order (extinguishment or diversion) - section 257 Town & Country Planning Act 1990:** A

path may be diverted or stopped up if it is necessary to enable development to take place.

5.2 The Council now has the power under Sections 129A to 129G of the Highways Act 1980 to make what are known as Gating Orders. Gating Orders may be used where the authority consider that a highway is facilitating high and persistent levels of crime and/or anti-social behaviour that adversely affects local residents or businesses. Such orders have the effect of temporarily removing the rights of the public over the highway but they do not permanently extinguish them. Please refer to the Council's separate policy on Gating Orders for further information.

### 6.0 **Additional Criteria**

6.1 This section sets out the criteria against which the Council will assess any application for a Public Path Order. These expand on and are in addition to the tests set out in the legislation. It establishes how the Council intends to exercise its discretion in deciding whether or not to make a Public Path Order. It should be stressed that the Council will seek to take a balanced view of the assessment against all the criteria as a whole. The criteria are:-

- **Connectivity.** Any new proposed route should maintain links to existing paths and where possible offer links to improve the connectivity of the network. Under very few circumstances will proposals be considered that alter road crossings of paths except where it improves the safety for users.
- **Equalities Impact.** All applications will be assessed for their impact on equalities issues. The Disability Discrimination Act 2005 requires the Council to promote equality of opportunity for disabled people and to make reasonable adjustments to physical features so that people with disabilities are not disadvantaged. The Council's assessment will take into account other relevant legislation and government guidance. Proposals should seek to improve access for all, particularly those with mobility or visual impairments. Careful consideration should be given to the choice of

infrastructure and gradient - see below - and the principle of least restrictive option applied throughout.

- **Gaps & Gates.** In line with government guidance and the principle of least restrictive access, the Council will seek to minimise the number of structures on the new route. Where a gap would be insufficient and a structure is required on a new route for a boundary crossing (e.g. hedge or fence to contain livestock or to prevent unauthorised access, a suitable gate or kissing gate may be permitted. Stiles will only be accepted in exceptional circumstances. All structures must be to a standard specified by the Council. This specification may cover features such as the means of fastening / latching as well as the overall physical dimensions of the structure and its operational performance. Generally, the new route must not result in a greater number of structures and any structures must be of an equal or less restrictive nature compared with the existing route. The Council may record structures as part of the order or, if appropriate, may authorise them separately under section 147 Highways Act 1980. Nonetheless, the consultation process will seek to make clear to the public the proposed structures on the new route.
- **Gradients.** New routes should not introduce any significantly increased gradients compared to the existing path and where possible should seek to lessen gradients. Whilst steps may be acceptable in some circumstances, there is a preference to see appropriately graded ramps / slopes.
- **Maintenance.** The proposed new route should not result in any significantly higher maintenance liability for the Council. Applicants may be required to enter into a maintenance agreement with the Council under which they will take on the maintenance responsibility for the new route.
- **Safety.** Any proposed route must take into account the safety of users. A new route should not introduce additional hazards. It should not encourage or be likely to encourage antisocial behaviour or criminal activity.
- **Status.** Where possible and appropriate, consideration should be given to establishing routes that offer increased opportunities for other users - for instance,

proposals that seek to divert a footpath and establish the new route as a bridleway.

- **Width.** The width of any new proposed path must be clearly defined. Proposals that will lead to the enclosure of new paths may be discouraged unless agreement is made with the Council as to provision of surface and maintenance (see below). The minimum width for a proposed unenclosed path will generally be as follows:-

Footpath - Minimum 2 metres;

Bridleway - Minimum 3 metres;

Restricted Byway - minimum 5 metres.

Where paths are likely to be enclosed a greater width may be required.

- **Features of Interest.** Any proposal must not remove public access from any feature of interest or place of resort other than in exceptional circumstances and the new route should not result in lower quality or diversity of views for the user.

### **Further Information and References**

#### **Legislation:**

- Highways Act 1980
- Town and Country Planning Act 1990
- The Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) 1995
- The Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) 2005
- The Human Rights Act 2000
- Statutory Instrument 1993 No. 407 The Local Authorities (Recovery of Costs for Public Path Orders) Regulations 1993  
[http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si1993/Uksi\\_19930407\\_en\\_1.htm](http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si1993/Uksi_19930407_en_1.htm)

#### **Government Guidance:**

- Defra - Circular 1/09. <http://www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/pdf/access/prow/rowcircular1-09.pdf>
- Defra - Structures on Rights of Way Guidance for Local Authorities - including the implications of the Disability Discrimination (Draft Guidance published February 2009)

- The Planning Inspectorate - Guidance on procedures for considering objections to Definitive Map and Public Path Orders in England December 2007  
[http://www.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/rights\\_of\\_way/public\\_path\\_orders\\_01.htm](http://www.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/rights_of_way/public_path_orders_01.htm)

Other Guidance and Information:

- Natural England - NE112 - A guide to definitive maps and changes to public rights of way - 2008 Revision  
<http://naturalengland.etraderstores.com/NaturalEnglandShop/Product.aspx?ProductID=8f4433c1-0c14-488e-96b6-b7d67bacbfd4>
- Natural England - CA215 - 'By all reasonable means: inclusive access to the outdoors for disabled people'  
<http://naturalengland.etraderstores.com/NaturalEnglandShop/Product.aspx?ProductID=c267e581-70d3-4164-9d28-03d25d282846>
- Ramblers Association & Open Spaces Society - 'Rights of Way : A guide to law and practice' by John Riddall and John Trevelyan  
Email - [bluebook@london.ramblers.org.uk](mailto:bluebook@london.ramblers.org.uk)
- Rights Of Way Review Committee, Practice Guidance Notes 1 : Code Of Practice On Consultation Over Changes To Rights Of Way Fifth *Edition November 2008*  
[http://www.iprow.co.uk/gpg/index.php/RWRC\\_Practice\\_Guidance\\_Notes](http://www.iprow.co.uk/gpg/index.php/RWRC_Practice_Guidance_Notes)

Relevant Council Publications

- Bristol City Council Public Rights of Way Enforcement Policy, 2005

Footnote 1 See paragraph 7.8 Circular 1/09



## JOINT RIGHTS OF WAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN JOINT BUSINESS PLAN

### Background

Our Joint Rights of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP) was adopted in November 2007. It sets out our plans for developing and improving the rights of way network in Bristol, Bath and North East Somerset and South Gloucestershire. (For the time being a separate ROWIP covers North Somerset).

In the ROWIP we put forward a Statement of Action and an outline 5-year programme. We promised to set out the financial implications and detailed proposals in a Joint Business Plan. This is our first Joint Business Plan and we focus in particular on action we intend to take in 2008/09.

### Funding

Between the three authorities we have almost £300,000 to spend on capital projects in 2008/09 to improve the network in addition to the revenue funding we put towards maintenance. Other potential sources of funding are from Joint Local Transport Plan programmes. Bristol City and South Gloucestershire Councils were successful in their bid for funding for Cycle Demonstration City and the works programme for this project will be determined by September this year. It is envisaged that many improvements can be made for walking and cycling from these funds.

### Joint Business Plan

Our Joint Business Plan is set out in the following schedule and summarises our programme of work for 2008/09. Among the schemes and initiatives we propose for the first year of the ROWIP are:

Theme	Statement of Action Task
<b>1. Improving Maintenance and Safety</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vegetation schedule revision (South</li> <li>• Implementation of a CAMS- integrated GIS and management database (Bath and North East Somerset)</li> <li>• Full survey of the rights of way network (Bristol)</li> <li>• Classification of routes being trialled</li> <li>• Joint diversion policy for discussion and adoption</li> </ul>	1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4
<b>2. Signing Routes</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review of signs in Bristol (part of network survey).</li> <li>• Install/ repair signs at junctions of paths with metalled roads</li> <li>• Additional signpost information to be piloted building on work carried out on Cotswold Way and other promoted routes</li> </ul>	2.1 2.2 2.3
<b>3. Providing Information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specifications for joint website and future online mapping</li> <li>• Promotional material e.g. Monarchs Way (Bristol and South Gloucestershire)</li> <li>• Initiatives flowing from DEFRA Diversity Action Plan</li> <li>• Promotion of public transport access, e.g. Severn Beach railway stations (Bristol)</li> </ul>	3.1, 3.2 3.3 3.6 3.8
<b>4. Improving Access for Local Travel</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Upgrading of multi-user route surfaces (Bath and North East Somerset, South Gloucestershire)</li> <li>• Frome Valley Walkway surface and infrastructure improvements (South Gloucestershire)</li> <li>• Projects to improve surfaces on well used links, e.g. routes to schools (All Councils)</li> <li>• Installation of gates, kissing gates and bridges</li> </ul>	4.1 4.1 and 4.3 4.2 4.3

• Schemes to enhance safety, e.g. realignment of junction of footpath with A368 in Chew Valley (Bath and North East Somerset)	4.4
• Discussion with JLAF and user groups to identify gaps in network	4.6

Schemes cover all three Council areas unless specified

**For more information please contact**

Chris Hogg  
Outdoors Access Improvement Officer  
Secretary to the Joint Local Access Forum for Bath & North East Somerset, Bristol City and South Gloucestershire  
Riverside, Temple Street, Keynsham, BRISTOL. BS31 1LA.

Telephone: (01225) 394161  
Fax: (01225) 394205  
Joint Local Access Forum: [www.jlaf.org.uk](http://www.jlaf.org.uk)  
Rights of Way Improvement Plan: [www.rowip.org.uk](http://www.rowip.org.uk)