Affordable Housing Funding Policy – April 2022 - 2025

Scoring Framework





Scoring Overview			
	Score Criteria	Points	Score
Priorities scoring:			
Meeting Housing and Community	How does potential development proposal meet housing need?	9	
Need / Mayoral Priorities			
Sustainability	How does potential development proposal meet BCC sustainability goals?	2	
Social Value/ Wider Community	What will the proposed development offer in Social Value?	5	
Benefit			
Equality / Diversity	How does potential development proposal address equality & diversity?	2	
Deliverability scoring:			
Ownership	What is the land ownership or acquisition status of the proposed development?	4	
Planning Status	How far advanced through Planning is the proposed development?	5	
Speed of Delivery	What is Anticipated / estimated Start on Site for the proposed development?	3	
Funding Need Scoring:			
Alternative funding solutions and	Have all funding and cost saving opportunities been exhausted prior to seeking	6	
VFM for BCC	financial support for the proposed development?		
Financial Appraisal	Can you provide evidence of your organisation's financial standing as a going	3	
	concern and demonstrate your capacity to deliver this housing scheme and accept		
	grant in accordance with the Council's Funding Agreement?		
Additional scoring:(for targeted bid rounds)			



Scoring Framework

Provider:		CLH / RP			
Scheme:		Total No AH Units:	SR=	AR=	SO=
Scheme Summary:					
BCC Grant requirement: £ /Unit	£/total (actual)				

Priorities scoring:				
Ref	Criteria	Evidence Base	Points	
Mayoral Priorities/ Meeting Housing and Community Need	How does potential development proposal meet Mayoral priorities of meeting housing and community needs? – 9 points: • Meeting demonstrable housing need - 2 points: Applicant to consider development location, tenure mix, property type, design and specification of units, local demand for specific house types.	Text response up to 750 words Narrative to show understanding of housing need, its application and evidence base. If applicable, explain, detail	/9 Pass mark = 1 or more for 'Meeting demonstrable housing need' to achieve a pass for this section, regardless of meeting other criteria.	
	 0 point = no information provided, no engagement with BCC strategy and enabling team. 1 point = addresses need to some extent e.g. Meeting affordable housing need of the city. 	and evidence how housing proposal addresses the needs of diverse groups.	Pass mark = 1 or more for "Proportion of Affordable Housing"	

	 2 points = clear evidence of significant engagement with strategy and enabling team and scheme can demonstrate that it will meet identified and agreed housing needs. Proportion of Affordable Housing (as defined in AHFP) being delivered – 3 points: 0 point = none 1 point = up to 30% 2 points = 31 to 60 % 3 points = above 61% Is the applicant a CLH provider? – 1 point: 1 point = yes Appropriate community engagement / involvement – 1 point: 0 point = none or, very limited with little evidence 1 point = clear examples of appropriate community engagement/involvement Providing specialist, and/or accessible and adaptable homes – 1 point: 0 point = no information provided or, very limited with little evidence. 1 point = evidence of development providing specialist or/and accessible and adaptable housing – shown through plans and design statements Evidence of design quality (e.g. BREEAM, Building for Healthy Lives, 	May be supported by Planning drawings, accreditations / certificates, or design statements.	
	 Evidence of design quality (e.g. BREEAM, Building for Healthy Lives, HAPPI) – 1 point: 0 point = no information provided or, very limited with little evidence. 1 point = evidence of development following trusted quality design principles – shown through plans and design statements 		
Sustainability	How does potential development proposal meet BCC sustainability goals? – 2 points:	Sustainability statement – narrative up to 500 words	/2

	Applicant to demonstrating how they are addressing the cities climate and biodiversity emergency: 0 point = no information provided or, very limited with little evidence 1 point = satisfactory information provided meaning providing all information mentioned in evidence base with clear understanding of how development can meet sustainability goals/standards 2 points = good level of information provided meaning providing all information mentioned in evidence base with detailed understanding of how development can meet sustainability goals/standards	May be supported by supported by Planning drawings, accreditations / certificates, or design statements.	
Social Value/ Wider Community Benefit	 What will the proposed development offer in Social Value? – 5 points: Applicant has completed our social value toolkit competently, evidenced plan as to how they intend to achieve their objectives including a commitment to monitor and report back. The plan meets social value policy 10 objectives. Examples include: Support the creation, sustainability and growth of local micro, small and medium sized enterprises Support the creation and retention of high-quality, sustainable jobs for local people which pay at least the living wage Support local people with opportunities for life-long learning, skills development and experiences of work Reduce air pollution and greenhouse gases (both direct emissions and those embodied in any supplies and services) Reduce and reuse waste, particularly waste that is harmful and/or sent to landfill Support Bristol becoming a more ecologically resilient and biodiverse city Area/ community specific needs addressed (such as a new community centre where one is required/ enhancing access paths to community 	Text response up to 500 words that responds to detailed issues. Supported by completed Social Value Measures Table.	/5 Pass mark = 2

	0 point = no info provided, demonstrating misunderstanding of question 1 point = filled in the Social Value toolkit calculator competently 2 points = meeting the above, evidenced plan as to how they intend to achieve their objectives including a commitment to monitor and report back AND have committed to meet at least 1 social value objective from the policy 3 points = meeting the above AND have a clear and detailed methodology as to how they intend to achieve their objectives 4 to 5 points = for credibly evidencing meeting 3 or more of the social value objectives		
Equality / Diversity	Can the applicant demonstrate compliance with Equality Act 2010 and effective equality and diversity policies and practices – 2 points:	Text response up to 500 words that includes accurate	/2
	0 point = no info provided, demonstrating misunderstanding of question 1 point = Applicant can demonstrate a satisfactory understanding of and commitment to diversity and equality of opportunity; in delivery of its services and equality practises. 2 points = Applicant can demonstrate a good understanding of and commitment to diversity and equality of opportunity; in delivery of its services and equality practises. The response identifies factors that will offer potential added value, with evidence to support the response and considers specific diversity issues of Bristol.	reference to the nine protected characteristics and references the need to tackle discrimination, harassment, and victimisation. Applicants adopted Equality and Diversity policy.	Pass mark = 1

Deliverability scoring:			
Ref	Criteria	Evidence Base	Points
Ownership	What is the land ownership or acquisition status of the proposed development? – 3 points:	Narrative up to 350 words	/4
	0 point = Site identified, no contract for land agreed	May be supported by evidence of level of legal interest in site.	Pass mark = 1

	1 point = HOTS in negotiation / agreed		Nb: Bonus 1 point if BCC
	2 points = Contract exchanged (BCC or private land)		land disposal
	3 points = Land acquired		
Planning	How far advanced through Planning is the proposed development? – 5 points:	Text response of up to 350	/5
Status		words.	
	0 point = Little or no planning engagement 1 point = Supportive pre-app obtained 2 points = Full or RM application submitted 3 points = Progressing to positive determination 4 points = Outline / Full Planning approved, s106 in progress 5 points = Outline / Full planning with s106 in place / RM approved	Evidence of pre-app response, application stage, planning certificate etc	Pass mark = 1
Speed of	What is anticipated Start on Site for the proposed development? – 3 points:	Text response up to 500	/3
Delivery	0 point = 5yrs + or unclear / no certainty 1 point = 3 /4yrs	words. Supported with a Gantt Chart	Pass mark = 1
	2 points = 1/2yrs 3 points = Commencement within 12 months	with key milestones.	

Ref	Criteria	Evidence Base	Points
Alternative	Have all funding and cost saving opportunities been exhausted prior to seeking	Text response up to 500	/6
funding solutions and	financial support for the proposed development? – 6 points:	words.	
VFM for BCC	BCC expects the Provider to have exhausted all funding and cost saving opportunities before seeking financial support for their development, which will include:	Development appraisal (Proval / Pamwin etc) (full and summary) in PDF format, supported by cost consultant	
	Demonstrating appropriate land value	statements and include an	
	Change in tenure mixRCGF / internal subsidy	appropriate contingency.	

	Options for Cross subsidy (mixed tenure schemes)	Confirmation of funding	
	Value engineering and scheme redesign	sources available to deliver	
	• HE subsidy	scheme from the HE, RP	
	Lending rates	Reserves & RCGF, private	
	Scheme payback – between 30 & 40 yrs	finance facilities, charitable	
	Sales income assumptions	and other funding sources -	
	Other (please note)	Letters of intent or	
		confirmation of availability of	
	The success of this will be measured as follows:	funding.	
	1. The % grant against total scheme costs being sought from BCC:		
	0 point = >60%	Development appraisals	
	1 point = 30-59%	must show a financially	
	2 points = <30%	viable scheme with BCC	
	2. Scheme payback period:	support to be successful in	
		bid but unviable without.	
	1 point = for any payback period outside of 30 & 40 years		
	2 points = between 30 & 40 years		
	3. Scheme is financially viable:		
	2 points = Yes		
Financial	Can you provide evidence of your organisation's financial standing as a going	Text response up to 500	0-5
Appraisal	concern and demonstrate your capacity to deliver this housing scheme and accept	words supported by	Pass mark = 3
	grant in accordance with the Council's Funding Agreement?	documents to evidence	rass mark = 3
		compliance inc:	
	Three years signed audited accounts		
	Most recent management accounts	Prior Annual Report (s), other	
	Financial Forecast Returns / Medium Term Financial Plans	financial records (on request).	
	Credit/loan facilities – supported by letters from banks and financial institutions		
		Grant Review, Affordability	
	See AHFP for exceptions to requirements for newly formed CLH organisations	Review and	
		Funding Agreement	
		statement.	

Additional Criteria Scoring(for targeted bid rounds)			
Ref	Criteria	Evidence Base	Points

