

Comments for Planning Application 22/01878/P

Application Summary

Application Number: 22/01878/P

Address: Land At Broom Hill/Brislington Meadows Broomhill Road Bristol BS4 4UD

Proposal: Application for Outline Planning Permission with some matters reserved - Development of up to 260 new residential dwellings (Class C3 use) together with pedestrian, cycle and vehicular access, cycle and car parking, public open space and associated infrastructure. Approval sought for access with all other matters reserved. (Major)

Case Officer: Richard Sewell

Customer Details

Name: Ms Kerry McCarthy

Address: House of Commons Westminster London SW1A 0AA

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Other

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to object to this application primarily on the grounds of the effect on wildlife/nature conservation and the natural environment.

We know from the Chief Executive of Avon Wildlife Trust that Brislington Meadows is an important habitat for wildlife which also provides vital access to nature for local people.

I have serious concerns about proposals to develop this land as it goes against Bristol's commitment to tackle the ecological emergency and ensure that at least 30% of the land in Bristol is to be managed for the benefit of wildlife by 2030.

We have a duty to address Bristol's housing crisis but this cannot be at the expense of protecting the natural environment which once lost, cannot be replaced. There are more suitable locations, including other brownfield sites elsewhere in Bristol East constituency, that can be used to meet Bristol's housing need without having to develop this greenfield site.

Development is not supported by Bristol City Council and Mayor Marvin Rees has announced his administration's opposition to building on this site. I also understand that Brislington Meadows' allocation is likely to be updated in a future iteration of the Local Plan so it would have been protected from development.

As any development on this site jeopardises the important ecological role it currently plays, I am opposed to any development occurring here. If development is to go ahead, I would push for as

much of the natural environment and habitats as possible to be protected.